MUZ
1919-20

G. R. S. IX. 5]
Previous references

File No 452 1

192 .

Serial Nos

( )

Later references

1 to

7-1-2

07-39-(1-2)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 1 to 10

Part B for ~~October~~ December 20

SUBJECT

Kahadevi to Lakshmi Narasappa, Gurru of Nayaniz
[illegible] Community

D.C. Ellipore.
No 1266. Dt 6.10.20

Passing orders re the grant
[illegible]

WD 2233- GPB—20,000—14 6 20

G. R. S. III-1]

1919—20

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

NOTES.

File No. 452

FILE HEADING.

Lakadari to Pandit Lakshmi Narasappa
from of Nayanaja Kshatriya (Barber) community
2

From

No.	Dated	Received

Diary No.	]	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	[	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First Sheet.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes. Mur 452-19

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D 1231
Sl. 1.

Petition from D Lakshmi nara Simha Pandit, Guru of Nayanaja Kshatriya (barber) Community praying for the grant of a Rahadari from Govt applicable to the State.

29 G

A previous petition of Novr 1917 was forwarded to the Dy. Commr., Mysore Dist., (The Community has its matt and temple at Seringapatam) for disposal, and it is represented that a rahadari affording usual facilities (i.e. accommodation, supply of provisions on Magt. & Police aid if necessary) was issued by the Dy. Commr.,

29. H.

The petr has now applied for a general Rahadari on the strength of the Nirups of the years ~~[illegible]~~, 1821 & 1830

(copies filed obtained from Public records, are filed with the petition) D, D,

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slip the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

granted to the previous Mathadhikari Vin Kataramanadoss, ~~At~~ the Dy. Commr's. endt. of 1906 S, granting Bells & Badges has also been produced.

The grant of a Rahadari from govt., is usually confined to the important mathas in the State which have enjoyed that distinction in the past.

With regard to the matt in question, there is no information about its status endowments, whether the claim is mainly based on the recup so old as 1821, or any subsequent Rahadari from govt., if any Rahadari has since been granted, wherein comes the necessity for a fresh Rahadari now, whether the petr. contemplates any tour in the State, and if so, through what Districts,

N.B. Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied on slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. III—3]

Mur 452-19

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

and whether the individual mentioned in the Nimp, is connected with the petr. etc.

But before getting further information, it is perhaps necessary to know whether the Dy. Commr. has actually issued a Drs. Rahadari, or a permit and if so, on what documents or other evidence it is based.

May a report with records be called for from the Dy. Commr. Mysore Drs.? for submitt. for orders.

8.1.20.

Supdts Office (Mr. Jayaramaiah)

Have the Govt. at any time granted a Rahadari to this Head of the Nayanaja Community? If so please put up refs. at once

9.1.20

The papers relating to the above are not available in the Records of the Mur: Supdts Office

11/1/20

12-1-20

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

1231

G R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

The Vernacular records will be asked to state whether any Rahadari of a general nature has at any time previously been granted to this matt. If no such reqs are forthcoming to that Effect, the Mysore Dy Comr may be asked to report on the status of the matt &c.

B.A.
12/1/20

To Vernacular records
B.A.
12/1/20

A Search was made in Dufter No 648½ if any Rahadari of a general nature has at any time previously been granted, but this Jaganaha Swami Mutt or Kondaramadevar Mutt has no mention of this name in any of the papers. The Nirups produced by the party finds no place in any of the pages of the Hazur Shaha Amul Vikrithi

Yes
12/1/20

Ver Reg No 84
13-1-920

N.B. Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

1231

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Vikrama and Pramathi years.

Hot

1 1/920.

Submitted for orders

M 21.1.20

SD 21.1.20

I believe an other appn. from the same party (for free arms) is under correspondence — If so please put up file

AMT

21.1.20

Submitted

No such application is pending in this sect. The chief sect. may be consulted. The application for the grant of a license to the petn. was forwarded to the sect. on 2.1.20 with the opinion of this sect.

M 23.1.20

Such an appln. was received from the Chief Secret. for note here & was retd. with a favorable note from the Secy. some days ago. RL 27.1.20

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied for slips pasted on this form.

SD 24.1.20

The present petn. may be refd to Dr. for report

AMT

26/1/20

I.V. [illegible]

26/1/20

12 31

G R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Submitted with a DFT.

27.1.20 BL 27/1/20

Issue

AMT 28/1/20

Cl. 2 To the Dy Comr. Mysore Dist. No. 2346 of 3-2-20 Forwards the Petn. for report.

Subd. to the Secd. as called for

BL 3/2/20

If no reply is rec'd, [illegible] Monday on [illegible] 11/3/20

3. From, the Dy Comr., Mysore Dist.,

Letter no. 104 Dy 23.2.20.

Submits a copy of order Dy 16-3-1918 issued to all the Amildars in the Mysore Dist., to give necessary help to the petr. in his tour, and states that

N.B. Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Mur 45 2 [illegible]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

There seems to be nothing objectionable to adopt the same throughout the mysore state.

Submitted for orders

[illegible]
28.2.1920

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mer File No. 452 of 1919.

Serial No.

The matt is a minor one and does not seem to enjoy any cash or land in am from Govt. There is no information that at any previous time this matt was granted a rahadari from the Govt. The Dy Comr has issued the necy permit to travel in his District, and if he applies in a similar manner to the other Dy Comrs enclosing a copy of the license issued by the Inspr Dy Comr, a similar permit will be issued by them. A genl rahadari from Govt does not seem to be necessary. Subd for orders

RB
28/2/20

~~I think that the genl.~~

For Orders.

I think that the propriety of the Dy's order of 16.3.18 is in itself open to question, if it has not had the approval of the Govt.

The come of Rahadari's is a privilege of the Sovereign & I doubt if Dy Comr can exercise ~~[illegible]~~ that privilege, without propr sanctn & precedents, ~~[illegible]~~

AMD
29.2.20

Please Sushe Authority
[illegible] 8/3/20

NOTE—Contn sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | mur File No. 452 of 1919.

Serial No.

The following reference files are subd. as desired by the Under Secy:-

1) mur file 8 of 1897 - or - 1 of 1888 the general Rahadari file.

2) M.F. 61 of 97-98 re Rahadari to Arogondi Matt

3) M.F. 109 of 1907 re Rahadari to Balakshmakiarda Matt at Talkad.

4) M.F. 68 of 08. Rahadaries to Old & new Sirigeri Matts.

5) M.F. 192 of 08. Rahadari to Kodandasrama Matt

6) M.F. 100 of 10 — Do —

7) M.F. 91 of 13 — Bababudan Varga Swamin

8) M.F. 221-15 .. .. Sri Yogananda Matt at Yadcha

9) M.F. 352-15 . . Sri Tuthamuthan Matt, in the Tuthaballi Taluk.

Please put up [illegible] X B.

5 Kind reference is invited to the closing lines of G.O. No. 101-10/mur 68.08.2 & 29.10.08 which convey the idea that the issue of Rahadaries is a privilege of the sovereign.

[illegible]
8/4/20

4 G.O. No. G-23624-5/Pol 102-19-4 & 14.4.20 directs the grant of a free permit to Pandit Lakshmi Narasappa to possess two guns and two swords.

6 Subd. for orders on the office note & 8.4.20

[illegible]
17.4.20

NOTE—Contn sheet.

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 452 of 1919

4

Serial No.

5 From Pandit Lak Shivadavasappa Rtg 26. 6. 20. Requests early orders on his apply for a general license to enable him to travel ~~[illegible]~~ throughout the State

7 Herewith are put up a collection of files on the subject of Rahadaries to Matts.

8. File 1. of 1888. is a Secretariat Compilation of Inspiras &c. to Matts. Paper fl. 1 in the file is a ~~[illegible]~~ statement of Rahadaries granted to several Matts from 1835 to 1873. From para 3 of the Memo. (Fl. 2). It will be seen that in certain cases, parties requesting Rahadaries had been sold to apply to the local authorities.

9 File No 61. ~~[illegible]~~ of 1897-8. In this case the Swamiji of Hue Gudi Matt (Pancha la Commune) prayed for the issue of Rahadari, producing a copy of Rahadari issued in the Uhara (1831-2)

NOTE—Contn sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 452 of 1919

5

Serial No.

The request was declined, the fort observing that in the present condition of things a Rahadari such as the one applied for was out of date & not wanted—.

G.O. No. 282-9 of 22-8-01 H.A.

10 The decision was adopted when the Talkad Matt applied for a Rahadari in 1908 and the Matt was told that the Dr. of the Dt. ~~may be~~ would ~~an~~ on application, arrange for the wants of the Matt driving town, being attended to—.

H. e. File 109 of 1907

11 The next case is the application of Sirepre Matt. And in this case, the Co. member ~~[illegible]~~ recorded the foll^g order:—

File 68 of 08-9

"It seems to me that such minor Matts are not entitled to Rahadari from fort but shd apply to the local authorities for the necessary permit—."

H. T.

The Confirmation of a Rahadari by fort c.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

honor. and shd be restricted to important Matts which are already in enjoyment of that distinction.

It was then pointed out ~~by the~~ in the note that the Matt was one of those mentioned in the Secretariat Compilation of 1888 & further orders were requested.

The final order was that the issue of Rahadaries in such cases may be left to the local authorities.

12 In the case of the Hebbur Matt again, the Dy Comr. was informed that that the pvt considered it undesirable to issue such documents in favor of Minor Mathas - not hitherto enjoying the distinction by Manuel & the Dy was asked to issue one to the Matt.

The next case - File 91-13 is still more definite -

FH. File 1-9 1888

FH-7
File 100-1910

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 452 of 1917

Serial No.

Here the Sajjada of Bababudan Darga applied for a Rahadari. From the office note in this file, it will be seen that the Sajjada had been granted a Rahadari by the Govt in 1870 (mentioned also in Lect B of File 1 of 1888); ~~& by~~ & by Dr under orders of the Govt in 1892; and in recommending the issue of a Rahadari by the Govt the Dr pointed out how Rahadaries by issued by Govt in favor of certain Matts were being recd in spite of the decision of the Govt in ~~Pro~~ the order of 22nd Aug 1901. Finally, the Dr was informed that the Rahadari asked for may be issued by himself.

~~Vide also Lett Sec~~

Dr's Letter Fl D File. 91-13

Fl. A.

Fl. E - File 91-13

File 352-15: (Thirtha Mathur Matt) — In this case the Govt in passing orders on certain issues as claimed by the Matt observed that the Dr's of Dts third which the Swami proposes to town, will, on previous application

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 452 of 1919

8

Serial No.

Fl. W.
(Pro no. 15-2 dy 15 Jan 16.)

File 221-15.

made to them, come the necessary Rahadaries.

15 The same decision has been followed in respect of Sri Yogananda Matt, Gedabri

16 From the above, it will be seen that the policy of the Govt has been to refer the ~~applicants~~ applicants for Rahadaries to the local officers except in the case of the more ~~[illegible]~~ important Matts. Considered deserving of the special ~~[illegible]~~ honor of a Rahadari from the Govt.

17 In the present ~~this~~ case too, the applicant seems to have ~~been~~ ~~granted a~~ had the benefit of an order from Dr. under date 16 March 18 issued to all Amrs. on his petn. to this office. dy. Nov 17, being forwarded to Dr for disposal

x D.

⊗ Fl. G.
File 104-17

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 452 of 1919.

Serial No.

18 The applicant's institution appears to be a very minor one - and he may be ~~refd to the Dr.~~ informed that he may obtain ~~the~~ a ~~necessary~~ Kahadan or Permit if necessary for the Dr's of Dts thro' which he proposes to form.

(Wesley). AMD 21-7-20

19 Please add a short note about the documents relied upon and produced by petr. RJ 21/7/20

20 He relies upon & has produced three documents viz (1) Copy of a rwrup issued by His late Highness Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur III to Killedar Subbaiya of Chennapatna on 26 Feb 1815 ~~[illegible]~~ directing him to see that Venkata-ramanadas, the Mathadhikari of the Ramadwara matt at Seringapatam is not molested by bad people at Chennapatna and to get ~~him~~ the necessaries supplied to him at reasonable rates and also to detail two servants for conducting him from his limits.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 452 of 1919.

10

Serial No.

(2) Copy of a Nirup dated the 27th Nov 1915 issued by His Late Highness Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur III to all Amils & Killedars enjoining them to afford accommodation & facilities to Venkataramana Das the Mata Dhikari of Kodandarama Dwarm Matt at Seringapatam during his tour, and

(3) Nirup dy 24.2.1819 issued by His Late Highness Sri Krishnaraja Wodeyar Bahadur III to all Amils & Killadars granting a rahadari in favor of Venkataramana Das Mathadipathi of the Ramadwarm Matt at Seringapatam touring under the titular name of Jagannadha Swami.

[illegible]
22/7/20

22 In Pro No. G 23624-5 dy 14.4.1920, the first hand. Sanctioned the rome to the Applicant of a free permit to possess two guns & two swords. The Dr. Nyone has accordingly granted him a free Certificate —

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 45 of 1919

Serial No.

23 The applicant has filed all the foregoing documents with Inspectr of 26-6-20

Phd

24 The Nomps & Samad produced evidence that they have worked at the request of the Matt over which the applicant now presides —

25 The applicant's request for a Rahadari deserves Consideration. But the point is whether it may be worked by the fort as desired by the Applicant or ~~who~~ the Applicant ~~refd to the Dy Co. of the Dt.~~ asked to apply to the Dy Comr of the Dts. third which he proposes to turn for such help. as he may require.

[illegible]

[illegible]

26 Some ~~[illegible]~~ Mutts have —

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

been refused Rahdhari's by Govt. ~~[illegible]~~ on the ground that they had not enjoyed this privilege before and they were asked to apply to the Dy Commrs of the Dts through which the Swamis proposed to tour. It appears to me that this rule cannot be made applicable to the present case, for the Mutt though very insignificant has in the past been treated with consideration by His late Highness Krishnaraja Wadayar Bahadur III who issued Nirups on 27 Nov 1815 and 24 Feb 1819 to all Amils and Kyledhars directing them to afford accommodation and facilities to the then Mahadipathi. Apparently this privilege has not been exercised since 1819 and it is doubtful whether the Mutt can be deemed to have lost it by reason of non-user for a century.

2) Seeing that His late Highness sent Nirups in favour of this Mutt,

NOTE—Contn sheet.

G. R. S. III-2)

2116

/3

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 452 of 1917-2

Serial No.

Sovt may well issue a Rahadhari now.

28 If a provincial Rahdhari is issued in favour of this Mutt, it will not follow that other insignificant Mutts will be entitled to similar treatment for such a concession cannot be shown to them unless they also produce in support of their claim genuine Nirups granted by his late Highness Krishnaraja Wadayar Bahadur III, which is the ground on which the proposal made above is based.

29 I understand that the present applicant is a barber by Caste

(Second Member) RJ 28/7/20

646-301
307-20

What is the standing of this Mutt? Has it any Govt. grants. cash or land? What is its income? Has it a large following? The Nirups might have been granted to the heads of this Mutt a century ago by the Sovereign of the time yet it may be that the Mutt is only such in name at present & that it has dwindled down in importance.

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

14

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19

Serial No.

31

I defer expressing my opinion till more information about the standing of the Mutt is available.

Reel
31-7-20

AMK 31-7-20

32

Kind reference is invited to para 1 of the notes above. The statement that this matt does not enjoy any cash & land inams is borne out by the Stat.ⁿ of the Pandit on the file (vide portions marked in red pencil)

33 The Guru says he has about 50 or 60 thousand families as his disciples in Mysore, Coorg & other places & that the income to the matt is about 2 to 3000 Rupees; and that the matt was in enjoyment of an inam land fetching 40 Khandies of Paddy at Kirangur, Seringapatam Taluk, but that this inam was resumed at the Inam settlement as nobody represented the matt &c. The matt is said be a very ancient one.

34 The Dy Comr. Mysore has issued

The St[illegible] Lab. [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

2664 15

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Recd File No. 4 of 19 .

Serial No.

A permit on the strength of the nurips produced by him

35 The matter is an ~~minor~~ Institution of minor importance to which Govt. have not in the past issued a rahadary with the exception of the nurips refd. to in the notes above.

[illegible] 4.9.20 36 For orders

[illegible] 8/9/20

Recd

Second Member) 37 [illegible] 8/9/20

1697-282
10-9-20

38 No Govt. rahdari need 18800 under the circumstances. The matter may be left to the D.Cs of Dts. to do may do what is necessary within their respective jurisdictions.

[illegible] 11.9.20

39 Draft [illegible] 11.9.20

40) 2 Dfts Subd

[illegible] 14/9/20

P.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

7666

16

MRL File No. 452 of 1919-20

Serial No.

Draft of a G.O. as desired by the Under Sec? with draft Ind. to party; as subd for app. or orders

[illegible]
28/9/20

[illegible] 28/9/20

Posing
Second Member

[illegible] 24/9/20
28

2011-231.
29-9-20.

Do as approved
[illegible]
1-10-20

[illegible]
[illegible] 1-10-20

6 To the Dy Comr. Nyasand.
No. 1266 dy 6-10-20. States that in view of the fact that the matter is a minor institution the issue of Rahadari by Govt. is unnecessary & that the matter may be left to the Dy Comrs of Dists who may do what is me?

7 Ind. No. 1267 dy 6-10-20 to Vendit Lakshminarasappa. intimating that no Rahadari can be granted to him by Govt. & that he may apply to the Dy Comrs of Dists in the matter.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mur File No. 45 of 1917

Serial No.

8 From Pandit Lakshmi Narasuppa Rtn dy 20.10.20. Says that the order of Govt issued in the case may be forwarded to the Dy Comrs of Drotts for their infn in order to enable him to obtain the necy rahadari from them.

May the copies of the order No. 1266/Mur 452-19-6 dy 6.10.20 be sent to all the Dy Comrs of Drotts for infn?

May be sent.
For orders
AMT 23/11/20

30/10/20

Yes

Yes [illegible]

And petr also informed of it.
Every petr [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] 25/11/2
AMT 25/11

Draft [illegible]
[illegible]
24/11/20 [illegible]
25/11/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

~~Off~~

G.O. No 1226/Mu Z-452-19-6

dy 6-10-20.

×

In extenso

Offl Memo.

Copy forwarded to the Dys of other Dts for information.

By order.

[illegible]

for Mu Z-Secy

10/12/20.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

[illegible] Mu Z-452-19-6

Endt to

With refce to his ~~letter~~ refn dy 20.10.20. he is informed that Copy of G.O. no. dy has been communicated to Dys

[illegible] for Mu Z-Sec

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section Anyone
File No. 452-19
Serial No. 2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( )
, *the* 191 .

No. 2346 Enc pack
with its encs

Date of issue 3.2.20

Signed by J. E. Jayaramaiya
BSS/S

To

The Dy Commr
Mysore Distt

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for favor of a report, petition regd. no. 1231 dy 23rd December 1919 with its enclosures received from D. Lakshminarasimha Pandit, Sum of Nayanaja-kshatriya Community, Seringapatam, praying for the Grant of a general Rahadari

over.

from first applicable to
the Mysore State

I have etc -

[illegible]

[illegible] - for Secretary,
Mus Dept

1622
19 20
Mur
452-19
3

223.5 WD 0463—GPB—3,00,000—21 7-17—S

1919-20

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Muzrai Department,
Mysore Distt

To

The Secretary to the Govt of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
Muzrai Deptt Bungalow

Dated

Despatched 23rd February 19[illegible]

Received

General No. D1 Dis no 104 of 19[illegible]
No.

Enclosure No.

Furnishing opinion in the matter of the grant of a General Rahadari to one D Lakshminarasimha Pandit, Guru of Nayanjak Nathuraja Community, Seringapatam,

No. Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

Dated Mysore 21st February 1920.

From

P. F. Bowring, Esquire, J.P.,

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

T. E. Jayarama Iyer, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department,

B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

ith reference to your letter No. 2346 dated the 3rd February 1920, ca ling for re ort on the petition of one D. Lakshminarasimha Pandit, Guru of Nayanajakshatriaya community, Seringapatam, praying for the grant of a General Bahadari from Government applicable to the Mysore State, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of order No. R. Dis 47 of 1917-18 (Muz) dated the 16th March 1918 issued to all the Amildars in the Mysore District to give necessary help to the petitioner in his tour, and to state that there seems to be nothing objectionable to adopt the same throughout the ysore State.

The petition with its enclosures received with the above is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

AN.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

2116
19 20
MWZ
452-19
4

R e a d:-

This Office letter No.G.27792 dated 12th June 1919 declining to grant of a free permanent license to Panditha D.Lakshminarasappa, Agent of the Jagannatha Swami Mutt at Seringapatam, to possess 2 - swords and 2 guns during his tours and directing that he should take out licenses in the usual manner.

2. Letter No.L.R.360/Judl. of 19 dated 18/20th November 1919 from the District Magistrate, Mysore District, forwarding an application from the Agent for reconsideration of the order read above.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No.L.R.360 of 1920 Pol.dated 17th February 1920 from the same officer, forwarding the report of the Amildar, Seringapatam Taluk, stating that the Pandit is ascertained to be the grand-son of Venkataramana Doss and also the Head of the Mutt.

O r d e r No. G 23624-5 Pol 102 19-4

Dated, Bangalore, 14 April 1920.

Under the circumstances represented, Government are pleased to direct that the Pandit be granted a free permit to possess two guns and two swords.

Mahadevappa
For Chief Secretary to Government

AMT 16/4

To

The Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

The District Magistrate, Mysore District, returning the encl

Subd for personal
This may be placed in the Cmd file
15/4/20

AMT 16/4 Copy to the Muzrai Secretariat

To

2668

The Muzrai Superintendent in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

I respectfully beg to submit that on the 23rd December 1919 I applied for a general license from the Muzrai Department for my touring throughout the Mysore State in my capacity as the Mathadipathi of the Sree Rama Devera and Jagannatha Math in Srirangapatam.

I also submitted a further representation on the same subject on the 23rd February 1920.

I am just at present touring in the Chickkanayakanahalli taluk of the Tumkur district and intend proceeding to the other districts as early as practicable. I therefore pray that you will be so good as to go through my representation and pass early orders and grant me the general license applied for.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Bangalore,

26th June 1920.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read

Petition Registered No. 1251 dated the 23rd December 1919 received from D. Lakshminarasimha Pandit, Guru of Nayanaja Kshatriya Community, Seringapatam, praying for the grant of a general Rahadari to enable him to tour in the Mysore State for Sishyarjane.

Correspondence ~~ending~~ on the above ending with letter No. D. Dis 104 of 19-20 & 23rd Feb. 1920 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District

---

Order No. 1266 Enc. V.P.P
Bangalore, dated, the Septr, 1920.

The applicant is the Guru of Nayanaja Kshatriya Caste and the Head of the Jagannatha Matt in Seringapatam.

2. In view of the fact that the Matt is ~~but a small~~ a minor institution the issue of a Rahadhari by Government is deemed unnecessary, ~~but~~ The matter will be left to the Deput Commissioners of Districts, who may do what is necessary within their respective jurisdictions, having regard to the principles laid down in Government Order No. 282-9/Muz.8-97 dated the 22nd August 1901.

Secretary to Government,
Muzrai Department.

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District
etc. Vernacular Records.

Fair draft

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore.

R e a d:--

Petition Registered No.1231 dated the 23rd December 1 1 , received from D.Lakshminarasimha Pandit, Guru of Nayanaja Kshatriya community, Seringapatam, praying for the grant of a general Ramdari to enable him to tour in the Mysore State for Vishyarjane.

(2) Correspondence on the above ending with letter No.D.Dis 104 of 19-20 dated 23rd February 1920, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

Order No.1266/Muz.452-19-6.

Dated Bangalore, the 6th October 1920.

The applicant is the Guru of Nayanaja Kshatriya Caste and the head of the Jagannatha Matt in Seringapatam.

2. In view of the fact that the Matt is a minor institution the issue of a Ramadhari by Government is deemed unnecessary. The matter will be left to the Deputy Commissioners of Districts, who may do what is necessary within their respective jurisdictions, -- having regard to the principles laid down in Government Order No. 282-9/Muz.1-17 dated the 2nd August 1901.

Sd. [illegible]
Secretary to Government,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, returning vernacular records.

Memo No.2098-[illegible] dated Bangalore, [illegible]

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, for information.

By Order,

[signature]

Secretary to Government

G. R. S. VI - G/32]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( )
, the *191* .

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No.

To

[illegible]

[illegible] 23.2.20 [illegible]

[illegible] 26.6.20 [illegible]

[illegible]

ver.

[illegible]

A O

24/9/70

14.9.70

1.10.70

DIARY No. 952

1920 19 4

SECTION

FILE No. 452-19

SERIAL No. 8

23/10/20

From

D. Lakshminarasimha Pandit,
Guru,
Arya Nayanaja Kshatriya Community,
(Camp) M y s o r e.

To

The Secretary to
the Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department,
(Camp) M y s o r e.

Dated 20th October 1920.

Sir,

While acknowledging with thanks the receipt of your kind order No.1267/452-19-7 dated 6th instant, I beg to be kindly informed at your earliest convenience whether the direction mentioned in your above order has been communicated to the Deputy Commissioners of all the Districts in the State in order to enable myself to obtain the Rahadari under reference from them. In case the said order has not yet been communicated I venture to approach again your nobleself most respectfully with a request that a copy of the above order may be kindly ordered to be forwarded to them (Deputy Commissioners) for their information and intimation of the same given to me.

Begging to be kindly excused for the trouble and thanking you in anticipation,

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

23-10-1920

Doddapet,
Mysore.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 30.8.1920.

[illegible]

[illegible] 2/- [illegible]

[illegible] 2000-3000 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 30,000/- [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 50,000 [illegible] 60,000 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] — [illegible]

30-8-1920

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 30-8-[illegible]

Before me

V. [illegible]

Bangalore
30 August 90

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

3-9-192[illegible]

Bangalore
3rd September 192[illegible]

Before me
[illegible]